



MIRANDA TOASTMASTERS PARLIAMENTARIAN CONTEST

TIEBREAKER JUDGE GUIDE AND BALLOT

JUDGING ITEMS (SEE OVER FOR DESCRIPTION)	SUGGESTED POINTS VALUES				/ / / / / / / / / /								
	E X C E L L E N T	V E R Y	G O O D	F A I R	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ANALYTICAL QUALITY CLEAR FOCUSED	40	28-39	17-27	0-16									
RECOMMENDATIONS POSITIVE, SPECIFIC HELPFUL	30	22-29	13-21	0-12									
TECHNIQUE SYMPATHETIC, SENSITIVE MOTIVATIONAL	15	11-14	6-10	0-5									
SUMMATION CONCISE, ENCOURAGING	15	11-14	6-10	0-5									
TOTAL SCORE (100 POINTS POSSIBLE)													

(Detach and submit to counters)

TIEBREAKER JUDGE OFFICAL BALLOT PARLIAMENTARIAN CONTEST

Name of Contestant:

PLACE	RANKING POINTS
First	3
Second	2
Third	1
Fourth	
Fifth	
Sixth	
Seventh	
Eighth	
Ninth	

(NOTE: Votes must be cast for first, second, and third place or the ballot will be voided.)

(Signature of Judge)

(Judge's Name; Please Print)

JUDGING CRITERIA

ANALYTICAL QUALITY refers to the effectiveness of the evaluation. Every evaluation should carefully analyse the strength and weaknesses of the chairman's performance. Were the evaluator's comments clear and logical? Did the evaluator identify specific strengths and weaknesses of the chairman's performance? Did the evaluator support his/her argument with specific reference to parliamentary procedures according to "Rentons"? Did the evaluator identify all anomalous/inconsistencies in the chairman's handling of procedure and substantive motions?

RECOMMENDATIONS are an important part of an evaluation. An evaluator not only points out the strengths and weaknesses of the chairman's performance, he/she also offers specific recommendations for improvement, backed up by parliamentary procedures according to "Rentons" where applicable. Recommendations should be practical, helpful and positive, and they should enable the chairman to improve his/her next chairmanship performance.

TECHNIQUE refers to the manner in which the evaluator presents his/her comments and recommendations. An evaluator should be sensitive to the feelings and needs of the chairman, yet inspire and encourage the chairman in his/her future opportunities as chairman.

SUMMATION is how the evaluator concludes the evaluation. The conclusion should briefly summarise the evaluator's comments and suggestions and be positive and encouraging.

JUDGE'S CODE OF ETHICS

1. Judges will consciously avoid bias of any kind in selecting first, second and third-place contestants. They will not consider any contestant's age, sex, race, creed, national origin, profession or political beliefs. They will demonstrate the utmost objectivity.
2. Judges will not time the speeches and will not consider the possibility of under-time or overtime when judging a contestant's speech.
3. Judges will support by word and deed the contest rules and judging standards, refraining from public criticism of the contest and revealing scores and ranking only in accordance with official policy.